UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,907	09/25/2003	Gisele Veilleux	GOUD:037US/10311165	6020

32425   7590   02/02/2010
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.
600 CONGRESS AVE.
SUITE 2400
AUSTIN, TX 78701

EXAMINER
HOLT, ANDRIAE M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1616	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/670,907	VEILLEUX ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Andriae M. Holt	1616	

All Participants:

(1) *Andriae M. Holt*.

(2) *Tamsen Barrett*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *29 January 2010*   **Time:** *10;50 a.m.*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
    If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted the attorney to check the status of the application. Ms. Barrett confirmed that no response would be filed in the Application. The application is abandoned.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)